

**František Lorenc, Vítězslava Pešková, Roman Modlinger, Libor Mrnka,  
Ivana Tomášková, Petr Šenfeld, Marek Turčáni**

## Fine roots of *Picea abies* compensate for drought stress in the rainfall reduction experiment

### Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table 1. Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of the density of active mycorrhizae (ActM), density of nonactive mycorrhizae (NactM), proportion of active mycorrhizae (% ActM) and dry mass of roots up to 1 mm (DM < 1 mm). N: number of samples

Variable	Drought stress	N	Plot	2010	2012	2013
ActM	no	10	P1	0.94 $\pm$ 0.35	0.97 $\pm$ 0.46	1.07 $\pm$ 0.57
	no	10	P2	0.97 $\pm$ 0.26	0.46 $\pm$ 0.19	0.89 $\pm$ 0.64
	no	20	P1+P2	0.95 $\pm$ 0.30	0.71 $\pm$ 0.43	0.98 $\pm$ 0.60
	yes	10	P1	0.82 $\pm$ 0.51	0.80 $\pm$ 0.47	1.42 $\pm$ 0.75
	yes	10	P2	0.43 $\pm$ 0.16	0.83 $\pm$ 0.47	0.98 $\pm$ 0.55
	yes	20	P1+P2	0.63 $\pm$ 0.42	0.82 $\pm$ 0.46	1.20 $\pm$ 0.68
NactM	no	10	P1	1.19 $\pm$ 0.27	1.00 $\pm$ 0.42	1.51 $\pm$ 0.72
	no	10	P2	1.32 $\pm$ 0.52	0.73 $\pm$ 0.25	1.85 $\pm$ 0.95
	no	20	P1+P2	1.26 $\pm$ 0.41	0.86 $\pm$ 0.36	1.68 $\pm$ 0.84
	yes	10	P1	1.28 $\pm$ 0.32	1.26 $\pm$ 0.45	1.27 $\pm$ 0.27
	yes	10	P2	1.55 $\pm$ 0.35	1.07 $\pm$ 0.43	1.35 $\pm$ 0.28
	yes	20	P1+P2	1.42 $\pm$ 0.35	1.17 $\pm$ 0.44	1.31 $\pm$ 0.27
% ActM	no	10	P1	43 $\pm$ 11	48 $\pm$ 19	41 $\pm$ 17
	no	10	P2	44 $\pm$ 8	38 $\pm$ 8	30 $\pm$ 18
	no	20	P1+P2	43 $\pm$ 9	43 $\pm$ 15	36 $\pm$ 18
	yes	10	P1	37 $\pm$ 12	38 $\pm$ 16	50 $\pm$ 13
	yes	10	P2	22 $\pm$ 6	42 $\pm$ 13	40 $\pm$ 14
	yes	20	P1+P2	29 $\pm$ 12	40 $\pm$ 14	45 $\pm$ 14
DM < 1 mm	no	10	P1	0.70 $\pm$ 0.33	0.61 $\pm$ 0.22	0.37 $\pm$ 0.18
	no	10	P2	0.72 $\pm$ 0.34	0.51 $\pm$ 0.20	0.43 $\pm$ 0.24
	no	20	P1+P2	0.71 $\pm$ 0.33	0.56 $\pm$ 0.21	0.40 $\pm$ 0.21
	yes	10	P1	0.82 $\pm$ 0.26	0.59 $\pm$ 0.28	0.57 $\pm$ 0.33
	yes	10	P2	0.68 $\pm$ 0.28	0.30 $\pm$ 0.16	0.53 $\pm$ 0.37
	yes	20	P1+P2	0.75 $\pm$ 0.27	0.44 $\pm$ 0.27	0.55 $\pm$ 0.34

Supplementary Table 2. Pre-experimental data from spring 2010. Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of the density of active mycorrhizae (ActM), density of nonactive mycorrhizae (NactM), proportion of active mycorrhizae (% ActM) and dry mass of roots up to 1 mm (DM < 1 mm). N: number of samples (Lorenc, 2012)

Variable	Drought stress	N	Plot	Spring 2010
ActM	no	10	P1	0.72 $\pm$ 0.34
	no	10	P2	0.70 $\pm$ 0.26
	no	20	P1+P2	0.71 $\pm$ 0.29
	yes	10	P1	0.47 $\pm$ 0.22
	yes	10	P2	0.80 $\pm$ 0.40
	yes	20	P1+P2	0.63 $\pm$ 0.36
NactM	no	10	P1	1.33 $\pm$ 0.34
	no	10	P2	1.48 $\pm$ 0.60
	no	20	P1+P2	1.41 $\pm$ 0.48
	yes	10	P1	1.28 $\pm$ 0.44
	yes	10	P2	1.24 $\pm$ 0.36
	yes	20	P1+P2	1.26 $\pm$ 0.39
% ActM	no	10	P1	35 $\pm$ 10
	no	10	P2	33 $\pm$ 11
	no	20	P1+P2	34 $\pm$ 10
	yes	10	P1	28 $\pm$ 12
	yes	10	P2	38 $\pm$ 13
	yes	20	P1+P2	33 $\pm$ 13
DM < 1 mm	no	10	P1	0.64 $\pm$ 0.22
	no	10	P2	0.68 $\pm$ 0.26
	no	20	P1+P2	0.66 $\pm$ 0.23
	yes	10	P1	0.75 $\pm$ 0.40
	yes	10	P2	0.53 $\pm$ 0.25
	yes	20	P1+P2	0.64 $\pm$ 0.35